Our Case No. 8285-660

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applica	tion of:	)		
	Roberts et al.	)		
		)	Group Art Unit:	2661
Serial No.:	10/758,298	)		
		)		
Filed:	January 14, 2004	)		
		)		
For:	System and Method for Routing a	)		
	Call to a Called Party's Landline or	)		
	Wireless Communication Unit	)		

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and in conformance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97-1.99, Applicants hereby submit documents A1-A31 listed on the attached form PTO-1449 for consideration by the Examiner. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d), copies of documents A3-A31 are not provided since they were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in prior application serial number 09/815,965, which is being relied upon for an earlier filing date under

35 U.S.C. § 120. Copies of documents A1 and A2, which are the parent patents to the present application, are enclosed herewith.

Applicants note that the pending claims in this application were previously pending and rejected over U.S. Patent No. 5,353,331 to Emery et al. (alone or in combination with other references) in parent application serial no. 09/079,061. Applicants further note that, in the reasons for allowance in parent application serial no. 09/815,965, the Examiner specifically stated that Emery et al. does not teach an element that is present in all of the presently-pending independent claims: "routing automatically the call to the called party's landline communication unit in response to the called party's wireless communication unit being determined unavailable." Accordingly, while Applicants ask that the Examiner review the prior rejections, Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are patentable over Emery et al. in view of the Examiner's admission of the deficiency in Emery et al.

The filing of this Information Disclosure Statement does not constitute an admission that the information cited herein is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(b). Further, Applicant reserves the right to contest that any of these documents is prior art against the present application.

Dated: June 22, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

**BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE** P.O. Box 10395

Chicago, Illinois 60610 (312) 321-4719

Reg. No. 41,070

Joseph F. He

Attorney for Applicant

M PTO-1449	SERIAL NO.	CASE NO.
	10/758,298	8285-660
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS	FILING DATE	GROUP ART UNIT
FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION	January 14, 2004	2661
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT		
(use several sheets if necessary)	APPLICANT(S): Robe	rts et al.

## REFERENCE DESIGNATION U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER		DOCUMENT		II DOCUMENTS	CLASS/ SUBCLAS	FILING
INITIAL		NUMBER Number-Kind Code (if known)	DATE	NAME	S	DATE
	A1	6,705,916 B2	03/2004	Roberts et al.		
	A2	6,208,854 B1	03/2001	Roberts et al.		
	A3	6,073,029	06/2000	Smith et al.		
	A4	5,206,901	04/27/93	Harlow et al.		
	A5	5,325,419	06/28/94	Connolly et al.		
	A6	5,329,578	07/12/94	Brennan et al.		
	A7	5,353,331	10/4/94	Emery et al.		
	A8	5,408,528	04/18/95	Carlson et al.		
	A9	5,454,032	09/26/95	Pinard et al.		
	A10	5,457,736	10/10/95	Cain et al.		
	A11	5,469,496	11/21/95	Emery et al.		
	A12	5,504,804	04/02/96	Widmark et al.		
	A13	5,506,887	04/09/96	Emery et al.		
	A14	5,526,403	06/11/96	Tam		
	A15	5,537,467	07/16/96	Cheng et al.		
	A16	5,541,976	07/30/96	Ghisler		
	A17	5,579,375	11/26/96	Ginter		·
	A18	5,579,379	11/26/96	D'Amico et al.		
	A19	5,583,564	12/10/96	Rao et al.		
	A20	5,600,704	02/04/97	Ahlberg et al.		
	A21	5,610,969	03/11/97	McHenry et al.		
	A22	5,610,972	03/11/97	Emery et al.		
	A23	5,654,958	08/05/97	Natarajan		
	A24	5,664,005	09/02/97	Emery et al.		
	A25	5,673,308	09/30/97	Akhavan		
	A26	5,715,296	02/03/98	Schornack et al.		
	A27	5,729,599	03/17/98	Plomondon et al.		
	A28	5,901,359	05/04/99	Malmstrom		
	A29	5,903,845	05/11/99	Buhrmann et al.		
	A30	5,963,864	10/05/99	O'Neil et al.		

EXAMINER	
INITIAL	OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)
	A31 Harry Newton, "Newton's Telecom Dictionary," pp. 54-55, 1994

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED	
	DATE GONOIDERED	ı
		ſ

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.